

REMARKS

In paragraphs 3, 4, and 5 of the Office Action mailed 12/5/05, claims 1-6, 8, and 11-31 were rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 103. In paragraph 6 of the office action, the examiner stated, "Claims 7, 9 and 10 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims."

In this amendment, the applicant has amended the "objected to" claims in a fashion to place them in condition for allowance, and has canceled all of the other claims. Specifically, in this amendment, the applicant has amended claims 7, 9, and 10, and has canceled claims 1-6, 8, and 11-31. Claims 32-44 previously were canceled. Support for the amendments can be found in the claims. No new matter has been added. Claims 7, 9, and 10 are now pending in the application.

As mentioned above, in the office action the examiner stated that claims 7, 9 and 10 would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims. Herein the applicant has amended claims 7, 9, and 10 so that claims 7, 9, and 10 include all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims. Consequently applicant submits that all of the pending claims should be allowable. In conclusion, applicant respectfully submits that the application is in condition for allowance, and requests allowance of the application.

Respectfully submitted,



Timothy N. Ellis  
Reg. No. 41,734  
Attorney for Applicant  
telephone (858)455-7977